

MERCHANT, GOULD, SMITH, EDELL, WELTER & SCHMIDT

United States Patent Application

DECLARATION UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.63

As a below named inventor I hereby declare that: my residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below next to my name; that

I verily believe I am the original, first and sole inventor (if only one name is listed below) or a joint inventor (if plural inventors are named below) of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled: ELECTRONIC RECORD MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

The specification of which

- a. ☐ is attached hereto
b. ☒ is entitled ELECTRONIC RECORD MANAGEMENT SYSTEM, having an attorney docket number 12369.5US01
c. ☐ was filed on as application serial no. and was amended on (if applicable) (in the case of a PCT-filed application) described and claimed in international no. filed and as amended on (if any), which I have reviewed and for which I solicit a United States patent.

I hereby state that I have reviewed and understand the contents of the above-identified specification, including the claims, as amended by any amendment referred to above.

I acknowledge the duty to disclose information which is material to the patentability of this application in accordance with Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, § 1.56 (attached hereto).

I hereby claim foreign priority benefits under Title 35, United States Code, § 119/365 of any foreign application(s) for patent or inventor's certificate listed below and have also identified below any foreign application for patent or inventor's certificate having a filing date before that of the application on the basis of which priority is claimed:

- a. ☒ no such applications have been filed.
b. ☐ such applications have been filed as follows:

FOREIGN APPLICATION(S), IF ANY, CLAIMING PRIORITY UNDER 35 USC § 119			
COUNTRY	APPLICATION NUMBER	DATE OF FILING (day, month, year)	DATE OF ISSUE (day, month, year)
ALL FOREIGN APPLICATION(S), IF ANY, FILED BEFORE THE PRIORITY APPLICATION(S)			
COUNTRY	APPLICATION NUMBER	DATE OF FILING (day, month, year)	DATE OF ISSUE (day, month, year)

I hereby claim the benefit under Title 35, United States Code, § 120/365 of any United States and PCT international application(s) listed below and, insofar as the subject matter of each of the claims of this application is not disclosed in the prior United States application in the manner provided by the first paragraph of Title 35, United States Code, § 112, I acknowledge the duty to disclose material information as defined in Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, § 1.56(a) which occurred between the filing date of the prior application and the national or PCT international filing date of this application.

U.S. APPLICATION NUMBER	DATE OF FILING (day, month, year)	STATUS (patented, pending, abandoned)

I hereby claim the benefit under Title 35, United States Code § 119(e) of any United States provisional application(s) listed below:

U.S. PROVISIONAL APPLICATION NUMBER	DATE OF FILING (Day, Month, Year)

2	Full Name Of Inventor	Family Name	First Given Name	Second Given Name
0	Residence & Citizenship	City	State or Foreign Country	Country of Citizenship
5	Post Office Address	Post Office Address	City	State & Zip Code/Country
Signature of Inventor 205:			Date:	

§ 1.56 Duty to disclose information material to patentability.

(a) A patent by its very nature is affected with a public interest. The public interest is best served, and the most effective patent examination occurs when, at the time an application is being examined, the Office is aware of and evaluates the teachings of all information material to patentability. Each individual associated with the filing and prosecution of a patent application has a duty of candor and good faith in dealing with the Office, which includes a duty to disclose to the Office all information known to that individual to be material to patentability as defined in this section. The duty to disclose information exists with respect to each pending claim until the claim is canceled or withdrawn from consideration, or the application becomes abandoned. Information material to the patentability of a claim that is canceled or withdrawn from consideration need not be submitted if the information is not material to the patentability of any claim remaining under consideration in the application. There is no duty to submit information which is not material to the patentability of any existing claim. The duty to disclose all information known to be material to patentability is deemed to be satisfied if all information known to be material to patentability of any claim issued in a patent was cited by the Office or submitted to the Office in the manner prescribed by §§ 1.97(b)–(d) and 1.98. However, no patent will be granted on an application in connection with which fraud on the Office was practiced or attempted or the duty of disclosure was violated through bad faith or intentional misconduct. The Office encourages applicants to carefully examine:

- (1) prior art cited in search reports of a foreign patent office in a counterpart application, and
- (2) the closest information over which individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application believe any pending claim patentably defines, to make sure that any material information contained therein is disclosed to the Office.

(b) Under this section, information is material to patentability when it is not cumulative to information already of record or being made of record in the application, and

- (1) It establishes, by itself or in combination with other information, a prima facie case of unpatentability of a claim;
- (2) It refutes, or is inconsistent with, a position the applicant takes in:
 - (i) Opposing an argument of unpatentability relied on by the Office, or
 - (ii) Asserting an argument of patentability.

A prima facie case of unpatentability is established when the information compels a conclusion that a claim is unpatentable under the preponderance of evidence, burden-of-proof standard, giving each term in the claim its broadest reasonable construction consistent with the specification, and before any consideration is given to evidence which may be submitted in an attempt to establish a contrary conclusion of patentability.

(c) Individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application within the meaning of this section are:

- (1) Each inventor named in the application;
- (2) Each attorney or agent who prepares or prosecutes the application; and

(3) Every other person who is substantively involved in the preparation or prosecution of the application and who is associated with the inventor, with the assignee or with anyone to whom there is an obligation to assign the application.

(d) Individuals other than the attorney, agent or inventor may comply with this section by disclosing information to the attorney, agent, or inventor.

S/N NEW FILING

PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant:	JACOBSON	Examiner:	UNKNOWN
Serial No.:	NEW FILING	Group Art Unit:	UNKNOWN
Filed:	HEREWITH	Docket No.:	12369.5US01
Title:	ELECTRONIC RECORD MANAGEMENT SYSTEM		

POWER OF ATTORNEY

The undersigned hereby appoints:

Albrecht, John W.	Reg. No. 40,481	Lacy, Paul E.	Reg. No. 38,946
Ansems, Gregory M.	Reg. No. P-42,264	Larson, James A.	Reg. No. 40,443
Batzli, Brian H.	Reg. No. 32,960	Lasky, Michael B.	Reg. No. 29,555
Beard, John L.	Reg. No. 27,612	Lindquist, Timothy A.	Reg. No. 40,701
Berman, Charles	Reg. No. 29,249	Lynch, David W.	Reg. No. 36,204
Black, Bruce E.	Reg. No. P-41,622	McDaniel, Karen D.	Reg. No. 37,674
Blasdel, Thomas L.	Reg. No. 31,329	McDonald, Daniel W.	Reg. No. 32,044
Bogucki, Raymond A.	Reg. No. 17,426	McIntyre, Iain A.	Reg. No. 40,337
Bruess, Steven C.	Reg. No. 34,130	Mueller, Douglas P.	Reg. No. 30,300
Byrne, Linda M.	Reg. No. 32,404	Nasiedlak, Tyler L.	Reg. No. 40,099
Canady, Karen S.	Reg. No. 39,927	Nelson, Albin J.	Reg. No. 28,650
Carlson, Alan G.	Reg. No. 25,959	Orler, Anthony J.	Reg. No. 41,232
Carter, Charles G.	Reg. No. 35,093	Pauly, Daniel M.	Reg. No. 40,123
Caspers, Philip P.	Reg. No. 33,227	Plunkett, Theodore	Reg. No. 37,209
Chiapetta, James R.	Reg. No. 39,634	Reich, John C.	Reg. No. 37,703
Clifford, John A.	Reg. No. 30,247	Reiland, Earl D.	Reg. No. 25,767
Daignault, Ronald A.	Reg. No. 25,968	Rittmaster, Ted R.	Reg. No. 32,933
Daley, Dennis R.	Reg. No. 34,994	Schmaltz, David G.	Reg. No. 39,828
Dalglis, Leslie E.	Reg. No. 40,579	Schuman, Mark D.	Reg. No. 31,197
Daulton, Julie R.	Reg. No. 36,414	Schumann, Michael D.	Reg. No. 30,422
DeVries Smith, Kate	Reg. No. P-42,157	Sebald, Gregory A.	Reg. No. 33,280
DiPietro, Mark J.	Reg. No. 28,707	Sharp, Janice A.	Reg. No. 34,051
Edell, Robert T.	Reg. No. 20,187	Skoog, Mark T.	Reg. No. 40,178
Epp Ryan, Sandra	Reg. No. 39,667	Smith, Jerome R.	Reg. No. 35,684
Farber, Michael B.	Reg. No. 32,612	Soderberg, Richard	Reg. No. -P-43,352
Funk, Steven R.	Reg. No. 37,830	Sumner, John P.	Reg. No. 29,114
Glance, Robert J.	Reg. No. 40,620	Sumners, John S.	Reg. No. 24,216
Golla, Charles E.	Reg. No. 26,896	Tellekson, David K.	Reg. No. 32,314
Gorman, Alan G.	Reg. No. 38,472	Trembath, Jon R.	Reg. No. 38,344
Gould, John D.	Reg. No. 18,223	Underhill, Albert L.	Reg. No. 27,403
Gregson, Richard	Reg. No. P-41,804	Vandenburgh, J. Derek	Reg. No. 32,179
Gresens, John J.	Reg. No. 33,112	Victor, David W.	Reg. No. 39,867
Hamre, Curtis B.	Reg. No. 29,165	Welter, Paul A.	Reg. No. 20,890
Hillson, Randall A.	Reg. No. 31,838	Whipps, Brian	Reg. No. P-43,261
Johnston, Scott W.	Reg. No. 39,721	Williams, Douglas J.	Reg. No. 27,054
Kastelic, Joseph M.	Reg. No. 37,160	Witt McDonald, Jonelle	Reg. No. P-41,980
Kettelberger, Denise	Reg. No. 33,924	Wood, Gregory B.	Reg. No. 28,133
Komanduri, Janaki	Reg. No. 40,684	Wood, William J.	Reg. No. P-42,236
Kowalchuk, Alan W.	Reg. No. 31,535	Xu, Min S.	Reg. No. 39,536
Kowalchuk, Katherine M.	Reg. No. 36,848		

as attorneys and/or patent agents with the full power to represent the applicant in connection with this application.

Figure 1. Schematic representation of the experimental design. The subjects were divided into two groups: a control group (n = 10) and an experimental group (n = 10). The control group received a standard diet (SD) and the experimental group received a high-fat diet (HFD). The subjects were divided into two groups: a control group (n = 10) and an experimental group (n = 10). The control group received a standard diet (SD) and the experimental group received a high-fat diet (HFD). The subjects were divided into two groups: a control group (n = 10) and an experimental group (n = 10). The control group received a standard diet (SD) and the experimental group received a high-fat diet (HFD).

By: A Jacobsen
Title (if any): Chief Manager